IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3726 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

PUSHPABEN R PATEL

Versus

DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION

Appearance:

MR BR KIYADA for Petitioner

RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 1

MR MUKESH PATEL for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

Date of decision: 22/03/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. The learned counsel Mr.R.A.Patel for learned counsel Mr.B.R.Kiyada appears for the petitioner and learned AGP Mr.Mukesh Patel appears for the respondent. The learned AGP stated that he was not having any papers or

instructions with regard to this matter. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petition has become infructuous and according to his information the petitioner has also expired. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that in absence of addresses the heirs of the petitioner also could not be contacted and he is not getting any instructions. In this facts and circumstances, the petition is disposed as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

(D. H. WAGHELA, J.)
kks